

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/675,714	09/29/2003	Yuji Horie	NMCIP042	6324		
22434	7590 05/10/2006		EXAMINER			
BEYER WE	EAVER & THOMAS L	AHMED, SHAMIM				
	ND, CA 94612-0250 ART UNIT PAPER NUME					
,			1765			
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/675,714	HORIE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	-			
		Shamim Ahmed	1765				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili - If NO period for reply is specified abo - Failure to reply within the set or exter	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period vended period for reply will, by statute. than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this \propto D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ehruary 2006					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the	merits is			
/—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are p	ending in the application.						
	n(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are withdrawr						
5) Claim(s) is/are							
·	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are su	•	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		·		•			
9)☐ The specification is ob	iected to by the Evemine	•					
•	•		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
		ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob		ED 1 121/d)			
		aminer. Note the attached Office		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. io objected to by the Ex	animo. Noto the attached office	Addition former	0-102.			
	ada of a alaim for foreign	majorija umalom 25 11 0 0 0 440/o) (d) == (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (1).				
	of the priority documents	a have been received					
		s have been received in Applicati	on No				
		rity documents have been receive		Store			
	n the International Bureau	•	su in uns ivational	Stage			
		of the certified copies not receive	ad				
223 2201100 401411		o. and document doping not receive	· •• •				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO	-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	Prawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	t(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/27/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshizawa et al (JP-404362397) as evidenced with Article METADI diamond suspensions.

Yoshizawa et al teach a composition comprises diamond grain cluster of less than 100 angstroms (<10 nm) in average size, reads on the claimed particles diameters in the range of 1-10 nm and the diamond particles are dispersed in water-based aqueous solution (see the abstract).

Yoshizawa et al teach that the roundish diamond grain inherently includes

corners as evidenced by the Diamond suspensions article.

In the above, it is the examiner position that the roundish is not completely round.

The intended use of the composition used as a polishing slurry for texturing a surface of a magnetic hard disk is not given patentable weight and even given the patentable weight, Yoshizawa et al's composition is exactly same as the instant invention and the composition is capable of texturing the disk as a polishing slurry.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kendall et al (US 2003/0194961 A1).

The preamble limitation of "polishing slurry for texturing a surface of a magnetic hard disk substrate" is not given patentable weight because a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Kendall et al teaches the use of abrasive particles of mono or polycrystalline diamond particles having average particles size of .0001-300 micrometer, which meets the claimed range, wherein the abrasives are dispersed in liquid aqueous medium (see paragraphs 0031-0032).

Kendall et al also disclose the abrasive particles may have different shapes including corners (paragraph 0035).

Additionally, the monocrystalline diamond particles inherently include corners.

Kendall et al also teach surfactants may be used in the composition, wherein the surfactant can be non-ionic surfactant (paragraph 0038).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP-08007264 A teaches a slurry for polishing magnetic recording medium, wherein the slurry includes single-crystalline diamond less than 3 micrometer particle diameter (abstract); Komaki et al (5,071,708) disclose diamond grain particles have corners or edges.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA May 5, 2006